Jeremiah Birrell **Texas State University** Reza Ebrahimi **University of South Florida Rouzbeh Behnia University of South Florida**

Jason Pacheco **University of Arizona**

DP-SGD with Fixed-size Minibat**ches:** RDP has been a widely-used accountant for DP-SGD with Poisson subsamling. Fixed-size subsampling is preferred due to constant memory usage. Wang et al.⁴ provide the best computable bounds in the fixed-size regime for RDP that are practical for application to DP-SGD. We show that there is room for obtaining tighter bounds specific to DP-SGD with Gaussian noise.

RDP with Poisson Subsampling: Given a loss function \mathcal{L} , a training dataset D with |D| elements, and a fixed minibatch size, we consider the DP-SGD NN parameter updates with fixed-size minibatches,

 $\Theta_{t+1}^D = \Theta_t^D - \eta_t G_t \,,$

 $G_t = \frac{1}{|B|} \left(\sum_{i \in B_t^D} \mathsf{Clip}(\nabla_\theta \mathcal{L}(\Theta_t, D_i)) + Z_t \right)$

where the noises Z_t are Gaussians with mean 0 and covariance $C^2 \sigma_t^2 I$, and the B_{t}^{D} are random minibatches with expected size |B|. There are multiple ways to form minibatches, B_t .

Poisson subsampling: Minibatches are formed by iid Bernoulli random variables (chosen sampling probability q) which decide whether each sample is included in the minibatch or not.

Differentially Private Stochastic **Gradient Descent with Fixed-Size** Minibatches

Motivation: DP-SGD with Fixed size subsampling is appealing for its constant memory usage, unlike the variable sized minibatches in Poisson subsampling.

Contribution: We present a new and holistic Rényi differential privacy (RDP) accountant for DP-SGD with fixed-size subsampling without replacement (FSwoR) and with replacement (FSwR).

Results:

1) FSwoR accounts for both add/remove and replaceone adjacency, and improves on the best current computable bound by a factor of 4. 2) FSwR includes explicit non-asymptotic upper and lower bounds. DP-SGD gradients with fixed-size subsampling exhibit lower variance in addition to memory usage benefits.







Disadvantage of Poisson subsampling: Leads to variable sized minibatches and therefore inconsistent memory usage. It also has higher variance.

Fixed-size subsampling: Constant memory usage, but RDP bounds more difficult to obtain. RDP Bounds for SGD with Poisson Subsampling: First bounds obtained by Abadi et al.² and Mironov et al.³

RDP for Fixed-size Subsampling without Replacement: The first general purpose RDP bounds (i.e., for general \mathcal{M}) with fixed-size subsampling obtained by Wang et al.⁴

We obtain tighter RDP bounds for fixed-size subsampled DP-SGD using a Taylor expansion method, with precise bounds on the expansion remainder terms¹.

 $\epsilon_{[0,T]}(\alpha) \leq$

 $\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left| 1 + q^2 \alpha (\alpha - 1) \left(e^{4/\sigma_t^2} - e^{2/\sigma_t^2} \right) + O(q^3) \right|$

• We provide computatable bounds on the $O(q^3)$ term.

• Our result improves on the RDP bound of Wang et al.² by approximately a factor of 4 and is close to the theoretical lower bound² in practice. **Conclusion:** As we showed theoretically and empirically, since FSwoR under replace-one adjacency leads to the same leading-order privacy guarantees as the widely-used Poisson subsampling, we suggest using the former over the latter to benefit from the memory management and reduced variance.

References: 2024

[2] McMahan, H. B., Mironov, I., Talwar, K., Zhang, L., ACM CCS, 2016 [3] Mironov, I., Talwar, K., Zhang, L., arXiv:1908.10530,

2019

[4] Wang, Y.-X., Balle, B., and Kasiviswanathan, S. P., PMLR, 2019

Our RDP SGD under Fixed-size Subsampling: T-step FS_{woR}-RDP Upper **Bound under Replace-one Adjacency**¹

[1] J. Birrell, R. Ebrahimi, arxiv.org/pdf/2408.10456,